Dear Niken Parwati and Rafidah Nurdina,

We would like to inform you that we already finish reviewing your paper:

Paper : 042

Title : Retailer's EOQ model considering demand and holding cost of the defective items under carbon emission tax

Please find the comment from reviewer below

You can revise your paper at the latest by January 15<sup>th</sup> 2020 Thank you

Regards, ISIEM Committee

## ----- REVIEW 1 ------

----- Title page - Comply with conference theme; Specific: differentiates this research from other published papers on the subject ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ------ Author(s) - e-mail address of the corresponding author included -------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Abstract has: background, objectives, methods, result, conclusion in the right order -----SCORE: 0 (No) ----- Keywords Contain 3-6 words ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ------ Introduction - Clearly state the background that explains the problem ------SCORE: 0 (No) ------ Introduction - Clearly state the problem being investigated (PROBLEM DEFINITION) ------SCORE: 0 (No) ------ Introduction - Clearly state the reasons for conducting the research ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Research method - If there are an algorithm, formulation, flowchart, etc., it should be explained clearly ------SCORE: 0 (No) ----- Research method - Explain briefly about the data collection process ------SCORE: 0 (No) ----- Result and discussion - Accompanied by tables, figures, charts which present data -----SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Result and discussion - State limitations ------SCORE: 0 (No) ----- Result and discussion - Suggest recommendation ------SCORE: 0 (No)

## ----- Reviewer's comments -----

Abstract: Abstract should consist of: background, objective, method, result, conclusion. You put too much background and miss the objectives, result, and conclusion.

Introduction: please explain the real problem regarding existing recycling plastic machine to show the significance of your study. Please clearly state the problem statement and the objectives of the study

Methods: please explain the step by step of your study clearly. In keywords and methods you mention about Kano and HOQ. However, what you present in "Result and discussion" are different things. Please be consistent

## **Result and Discussion**

You put many results that has no clear relationship with your designing process (ex: part. 3.1). Please only put necessary results. There is also no assembly chart presented

Please explain the results you explain in figure 1,2,3, with the alternative of concepts, so we can see the connection among each result

Please explain each alternative of concept briefly to explain why the concept C is selected

Result and discussion: Please add more discussion instead of just showing the result. For example, add more discussion to the real problem. Explain what aspects that are improved by using the proposed tools. Also please add recommendation and limitation of the research.

Conclusion: you claim many things that never mentioned in previous parts. Please match between results and conclusion.

References: please add source from recent and reputable journal

Please follow the isiem format that can be downloaded in www.isiem.net. example: table

There are some errors in grammar, tenses, and spelling. Please check

----- Title page - Comply with conference theme; Specific: differentiates this research from other published papers on the subject ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ------ Author(s) - e-mail address of the corresponding author included -------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Abstract has: background, objectives, methods, result, conclusion in the right order -----SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Keywords Contain 3-6 words ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ------ Introduction - Clearly state the background that explains the problem ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Introduction - Clearly state the problem being investigated (PROBLEM DEFINITION) -----SCORE: 1 (Yes) ------ Introduction - Clearly state the reasons for conducting the research ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Research method - If there are an algorithm, formulation, flowchart, etc., it should be explained clearly ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Research method - Explain briefly about the data collection process ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Result and discussion - Accompanied by tables, figures, charts which present data -----SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Result and discussion - State limitations ------SCORE: 0 (No) ----- Result and discussion - Suggest recommendation ------SCORE: 0 (No) ----- Figures & Tables should be in English and captions included in text ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Conclusion - Summarize this research ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- References: All references should be cited ------SCORE: 0 (No) ------ References: Cite primary sources (journal article) – last 10 years ------SCORE: 1 (Yes) ----- Language - Tenses and grammar ------SCORE: 2 (fair)

------ Overall evaluation ------SCORE: 2 (accept)

## ----- Reviewer's comments -----

1. Writing The paper titles and authors were revised according to the IOP / ISIEM template

2. The citation numbering method must be sequential. In this paper, starting with number 2, 5, 3 ... should be from 1,2,3 etc.

3. The writing format of Table 2 and Table 3 does not match the IOP format. Writing table format: there are only 2 lines in the Title line and 1 underline in the last line. Unless there are lines divided into sub-lines, then this dividing line must be drawn.

4. In the abstract section it should add a conclusion that is the final design of the equipment, its function description and dimensions.

5. References 4 and 6 are not cited in the paper?